# JPL Tactile Rover Wheel

David Choy, Andrew Kessler, Riley Shear ME 218D, Fall 2013

The Mars Exploration Rover *Spirit* landed on Mars with its twin *Opportunity* in 2004. For the next five years, it performed a variety of scientific tests and measurements far in excess of its original planned 90-Martian-day duration. However, on May 1, 2009, 1892 Martial days into the mission, *Spirit* encountered a large patch of loose material; the rover lost all traction and was unable to move. Redesignated as a stationary research platform, *Spirit* sent its final transmission in March of the following year. In response to this event, which became known as "Free *Spirit*" the Jet Propulsion Laboratory (JPL) has funded the development of countermeasures to prevent similar occurrences in future extraterrestrial rover missions.

One potential solution proposes real-time measurement and mapping of the forces felt around the outer surface of each wheel. Such data would provide more detailed information on traction and surface consistency, allowing for improved navigation decisions. For example, a contributing factor to *Spirit*'s immobilization was the deceptive nature of the terrain over which it was traveling: a thin hard crust concealing a layer of soft sand below. A sensor-enabled wheel might have detected the danger before it was too late to avoid.

The tactile wheel system, once completed, was to have the following requirements:

1. Shall provide a "heat map" of the pressure distribution of the wheel's contact with the substrate



- a. Similar in concept to
- 2. Shall report pressure for discrete square areas with side lengths less than 12.5% of the maximum contact patch width
- 3. Shall report pressure to an absolute accuracy of 10% of the minimum pressure possible for a fully loaded wheel

- 4. Shall use sensing technologies and components compatible with rigid "tires"
- 5. Shall allow continuous rotation of the wheel
- 6. Shall provide telemetry while the wheel is in motion
- 7. Shall provide updated maps at 1Hz

Additionally, should time and resources allow, the following specifications were preferred:

- 1. The system design not incorporate batteries
- 2. The system be compatible with rigid "grousers" as part of the "tire"
- 3. The system resolve shear at the surface of the tire and pressures (or forces) normal to the active face of the grousers

#### Hardware

Several different concepts for sensing method and overall structure were considered at the outset of the project. Each had its own measurable benefits and drawbacks.

The first concept was to place an array of strain gauges on the interior of a monolithic aluminum wheel. Through a precise model of the material and shape of the wheel's construction, the minute deflections in the metal of the wheel's surface could be translated into a rough map of force distribution. The system allowed for unibody construction of the wheel, the current preferred production process at JPL. However, this approach was not ultimately pursued for several reasons, first among them the cost. Strain gauges are a relatively expensive sensor and to cover the wheel with the specified resolution would exceed the given resources. Secondly, obtaining a reliable model of the wheel's deformation would require several lengthy construction and testing iterations, along with precision machining processes that were not feasible with the time and finances available.

The second concept was to employ a partially pre-fabricated solution. Several companies already build and sell systems that measure pressure distributions across a large area and output the data as a "heat map", very similar to the intended application of this project. The advantages are clear: Employing an established solution would allow for minimal prototyping and testing, as well as economies of scale afforded by commercial products. However, these systems come in two forms: either as one-time-use sheets or as fully instrumented sensor pads, neither of which is appropriate for our application.

The single-use sheets obviously would not work for a long-term Mars mission, while the reusable pressure pads would also have to blanket the entire exterior of the wheel, possibly interfering with available traction. Additionally, with the inclusion of grousers on the wheel, the pad would have to deform around these features, possibly affecting output quality. The other major consideration was the cost of purchasing such products. As mentioned previously, several companies sell such systems and a survey of these companies and their products revealed a minimum cost of \$15,000 per sensor array. Given the limited resources of the project, this concept was abandoned.

The third possibility considered was using force sensitive resistors all around the wheel's exterior surface. The sensors could be placed in any desired grid pattern, and could provide normal force readings at any desired location. If the sensors were distributed evenly, the force output could be easily converted to a pressure. These resistors are easily obtained, sized small enough to give the desired pixel resolution in the map, and, when bought in bulk, are relatively inexpensive. The downside to this system

is the introduction of many more possible points of failure. However, in the event of a sensor failure, the rest of the system would still be able to function, with only a single dead spot in the map.

Given the resources available, the decision was made to implement the third concept.

The first iteration was built as a proof of concept prototype. Using a small cardboard tube segment as the makeshift wheel, holes were cut to allow the tips of the force sensitive resistors to sit on the outside of the wheel while their tails terminated on the inside, with individual wire pairs for each sensor leading to voltage dividers driving the analog inputs of a microcontroller. The system also employed an available HC9S12E128 board to quickly write a version of the microcontroller code necessary to read all of the resistors and output the values over the serial port to a connected PC. Additionally, the first version of a PC interface was developed to map the transmitted measurements to a visual display of the pressure. Integration of all the components strongly suggested that further development would result in a system meeting the desired specifications and manufacturable with given resources.

Following the successful proof of concept, the system was scaled up to more closely align with the dimensions of an actual rover wheel. Plans were made to use a 6.5 inch diameter aluminum tube to provide the wheel's primary structure. While slightly smaller than *Spirit's* wheels, the size was on par with those of its predecessor, *Sojourner*.

In order to meet the specifications, the sensors were placed in an 8x28 grid. Using a 6.5 inch long segment of the 6.5 inch diameter steel aluminum tube, this size array generates approximately square "pixels" in the pressure heat map. Each pixel covers a 0.722 inch (axial) by 0.704 inch (circumferential) area on the exterior of the wheel.



Figure 1 - Monolithic FSR reading concept.

It was at this point new problems with this design emerged. Because of the tube's small radius of curvature, the sensors did not sit flat on the wheel, complicating sensor mounting. Also, similar to the

large holes cut into the cardboard in the previous test, relatively large holes needed to be drilled through the tube to allow for the sensor tails to lead back to the interior of the wheel, which may interfere with the wheel's ability to operate. Finally, Following careful consideration about the assembly process, it became apparent that wiring 224 sensors to seven PCB's inside a six- inch opening was not feasible.

After careful consideration of a variety of options, design of the wheel was shifted to a modular system, where each section was a hybrid of machined and 3D printed parts. Each section would carry one sensor interface circuit board, four rows of seven sensors, a structural aluminum insert, and a 3D printed surface section carrying the curvature and sensor attachment pads.



Figure 2 - Section wheel concept rendering.

On each outer 3D printed section are 28 grooves and holes for the sensors. Each sensor is slid through its respective hole and glued down with epoxy on to the pad to hold it to the wheel (Figure 3).



Figure 3 - Sample section during assembly, showing trace routing.

An axle running through a bearing mounted to each side plate supports the wheel itself. Each section of the wheel is attached to this side plate with machine screws. The bearing is held in place with a laser-cut acrylic cap that is bolted to the side plate.

### Electronics

The Microchip PIC16F690 was chosen to provide on-board sensor reading and communication. This inexpensive model has the prerequisite number of analog channels, combined with a EUART line, and a fast analog-to-digital (A/D) converter. Also, the availability of this processor in both surface-mount and through-hole packages allows for quick and simple prototyping as well as future size and weight optimization without significant design changes.



Figure 4 - Fully assembled PCB, showing (from left) interface connector, 2-channel MUX block, two resistor blocks, the two 16-channel MUX's, and two more resistor blocks.

In each of the seven sections, the 32 Interlink FSR-400 sensors are arranged into four rows of eight. To convert their force-varying resistance into an analog voltage reading, these are arranged as part of a voltage divider, each connecting on one side to +5v and on the other to a  $10k\Omega$  resistor that was connected to Ground. The intermediate voltage of the divider was then fed into one of the inputs of either of two Texas Instruments HC4067E 16-channel multiplexers. The outputs of the multiplexers were then routed into a CD4053BE two-channel multiplexer. Therefore, five digital control lines were needed from the microcontroller to select any given sensor on a board. All sections were wired to the same five control lines, so that the same corresponding sensor was selected on each section at any time. The resulting analog output from each wheel section was connected to one of seven dedicated analog input pins on the microcontroller.











Figure 6 - Sensor board PCB layout



Figure 7 - Microcontroller board schematic



Figure 8 - Microcontroller PCB layout

To read all of the sensors, the five digital control lines were iterated through all 32 combinations of binary values. In each iteration, each of the seven analog input channels was captured and mapped to a digital value.

As the analog inputs are not read instantaneously, a finite delay time must be taken between successive measurements to ensure the proper sensor has been selected. Assuming an extreme 50 degrees Celsius temperature with  $10k\Omega$  impedance, it is necessary to wait at least five microseconds for the analog acquisition time.

$$T_{acq} = 2\mu s - 10 pF (1k\Omega + 7k\Omega + 10k\Omega) \ln \frac{1}{2047} + (50^{\circ}C - 25^{\circ}C) 0.05\mu s /^{\circ}C = 4.62\mu s$$

Assuming a worst-case scenario of 100 commands between analog readings, a 16MHz clock took 25µs to get the next analog reading. The maximum rate for A/D conversion is therefore 40,000 samples per second. With only 224 sensors on the wheel, there is more than enough bandwidth to meet the 1Hz update specification. There is more overhead available to introduce more complicated calculations or increase the number of sensors placed on the wheel, if required.

If the transmission of each row is to be evenly spaced and still maintain a 1Hz update rate, each transmission needs to be sent every 35ms. Each transmission consists of the row number (0 - 27)

followed by the sensor values (two bytes each) sequentially in the same order as the sensors appear on the wheel. Each line is terminated with a new line character, which allows for easy parsing on the PC side.

The microcontroller outputs an asynchronous 5V signal over the EUART line. However, for simplicity, it was decided that the PC interface would be through USB. The interface is maintained by an FTDI FT232RL USB to Serial converter chip, available as a breakout board from SparkFun. Additionally, the chip was able to take power and ground from the USB connector and pass that through to the rest of the wheel circuitry, allowing our system to be powered completely off of USB. Communication and power lines are routed through the hollow axle and a concentrically-mounted slip ring, allowing continuous rotation while the sensor array is in operation.

## PC Software

The PC graphical user interface (GUI) was written using the Java-based *Processing* IDE. The user interface contains a grid of squares, each mapping to a particular sensor on the wheel. The color of each box is linearly mapped from the force experienced on the associated sensor. The interface can also include overlays with the sensor number and the raw value being received at each sensor for debugging purposes. The lower portion of the interface features an averaging of each column of sensors corresponding to a row of sensors across the width of the wheel. This allows for a quick inspection of the data to see which part of the wheel is taking the force of the wheel. Finally, a drop-down menu was provided for selecting and connecting to a specific USB port. The ControlP5 library was used for buttons, drop-down menus, and other user-interface elements.

The interface design, along with the choice of *Processing* itself was done to give as much flexibility as possible to the user. For example, *Processing* is inherently cross-platform, the GUI can be run on any system that supports the Java runtime environment. The implementation of the GUI also provides for the ability to change the color mapping by swapping out a single configuration file.

In addition to the real-time interface, the software also includes a logging feature. The data received for each sensor are written to a file on the local PC in comma-separated values. The resulting file can be easily imported into data analysis software such as MATLAB or Excel for offline processing.

While the implementation of the GUI was relatively straightforward, some issues arose that are worth calling attention to. As mentioned in the previous section, the force readings were transmitted to the PC in the form of a single header byte indicating row number, sixteen data bytes representing the analog readings (two per each of eight sensors), then a single new-line byte. Because the analog values were transmitted as raw bytes, they could take on the value of numerical value corresponding to the ASCII newline character. Since the PC was scanning for this newline character, a check had to be added base on the amount of data received up to that point to determine whether the byte should be interpreted as a raw analog value of as the end of a line.

A second issue that arose involved transmission speeds from the microcontroller to the PC; if the microcontroller was sending readings over too quickly, the *Processing* serial buffer could overflow, leading to dropped bytes and invalid readings. Fortunately, the 35ms transmission period mentioned above for sufficiently long to avoid such issues.



Figure 9: The GUI without the wheel connected.

## Testing

Once the prototype system was fully assembled, we began preparations for testing its capabilities across multiple terrains. However, shortly into preparations, it was discovered that the adhesive holding the sensors together would not reliably withstand substantial tensile stress, such as the loading applied by the attachment method to the wheel's outer surface. The trace layer would physically delaminate from the pad, resulting in complete sensor failure. In future, custom-made sensors, a uniform external wrapping, or a redesign of the wheel geometry to reduce tensile stress might be able to eliminate this issue.

For finishing the proof of concept testing, the decision was made to use rubber bands individually wrapped around each circle of sensors to apply sufficient preloading force to prevent accidental delamination. An unwanted side effect of this approach is the introduction of unpredictable baseline forces applied non-uniformly to the entire sensor net. However, the effect is not catastrophic, and testing continued.



Figure 10 - Initial sand-bag test loading conditions.

In order to test the wheel, a test rig was devised and built to allow for constant weight. A second "dumb" wheel composed of just an aluminum shell was created to balance the rig. Each wheel was then slid onto a hollow tube for the axle and snap rings were applied at the outer end of each tube to keep the wheels from sliding back off. These two tubes were then both welded to a steel plate to reduce the bending stress applied by the test rig on the tubes. Finally, this plate had a large steel handle welded to it for ease of movement. The high density of the steel used in the rig reduces the amount of additional weight required in order to reach specified testing levels.



Figure 11: The assembled test rig with "dummy" wheel on the left.

Ideally, the wheel sitting on a rigid surface should display equal values in the heat map for each row of sensors, with zero loading on all except for the row in contact with the surface. However, several factors affected the loading so that this was not seen in testing. First, the rubber bands are compressible so the amount of load taken by each sensor varies across the width of the wheel. Secondly, since imperfections in the welding operation resulted in the axle not being connected straight across to the second wheel, the expected load distribution was not uniform to begin with. Between these two major issues, as well as other smaller factors such as tolerances in the materials and irregularities in sensor could not be accurately determined. However, as demonstrated below, the relative and qualitative measurements provided by the sensor network allow for clear differentiation between different terrain types, fulfilling the original purpose of the project.

#### **Rigid Surface**



le_interi	face									h.																	- jE
Seriai S	Setting	s			Conne	ctedl																			10		
SER	ANLFORT				•	BAUDRA	ne 🕳	DATABR	8 -	PERITY	•	STOP BIT	۰ ۱	COR DOM HOH			510			_	J	E			N	VS/	
LAY TE	STEOLOR	CROSS	MB	START LO	SAIXS							Fore			ć										1		
ap 50	51	- 52	53	54	- 35	56	-57	58.	59	510	511	512	sua	514	515	516	517	518	51.9	520	521	522	523	524		526	52
776	182	-		197		177		-	1.72			70		187			75.0				84		47	798			
528	525	\$30	531	\$32	\$33	534	\$35	536	\$37	555	539	540	541	542	\$43	544	545	\$46	547	548	\$49	\$50	\$51	552	1152	\$54	51
-5	1	221	154	4	151	1	170	254	80	1.09	0	38	51	206	-	190	172	70	77	55	146	1.65	55	139		46	
\$56	557	\$5B	\$59	560	\$61	\$62	\$63	\$64	\$65	- 566	\$67	\$68	5.69	\$7.0	\$71	\$72	\$73	\$74	\$75	\$7.6	\$27	578	\$7.9	\$80	111	\$82	51
1		179	176	1	1		1	1			53			158	85	1	229	53	1	155		186	1	14	112	217	
584	585	586	587	588	589	590	591	592	199	594	595	596	597	598	100	51.00	51 01	\$102	SL03	51.04	\$105	51.06	\$1.07	5108	5L 83	\$110	51
221	21.0		224	1	199	49	1	59		1.16	180	4	75	109	100	2	56	156	30	142	56	30	223	177		78	- 2
5112	\$113	5114	\$115	5116	5117	5118	5119	5120	5121	51.22	51.23	5124	\$1.25	5126	51.27	5128	5129	\$130	\$131	5132	\$133		\$135	\$136	14:17	\$138	51
271	43	170	146	1.88	1	216	14	20	211	4	173	1	80	1	235	1	1	212	(90)	250	53	272	1	2	337.	165	
\$140		-\$142	\$143	5144	-3145	\$146	\$147	\$148	\$149	\$1.50	\$1.51	\$152	14.16.1.1	51.54	\$155	-51.56	\$157	\$158	SL58	\$160	5161	51.65	5163	\$164		\$166	51
1	340		1	133	202	33	1	1	1	220	90	1	334	1	86	1	- 34	1	1	1	231	1	93	1	114	4	
1168	2169	5170	5171	5172	5173	5174	5175	5176		5178	5179	5180	SLEE	5182	5183	SU B4	5185	5186	51.87	51.88	5189	51.90	53.91	5192		51.54	51
222	10.0	1.61	38	1	268	250	13	.70	118	1	190	214	U.	304	218	- 17	0	188	1	217	1	241	151	0		250	- 2
1.550		51.98	5199	5200	5201	5202	5203	5204	\$205	5206	5207	\$208	\$209	521.0	5213	521.2	5213		\$215	5216		5218	521.9			\$222	-51
992	38	15	1	1	1	129	3	164	1	1	118	222	1	236	218	1.64	94	263	236	69	266	1	234	286		225	1
_																											
																									71.2		
																									$\wedge$		
173		164							176						167			154								1	
•	•	-0-	-119	60	-ö-	114	EL	110		-110	86	68	92		-0-	- 13	- 22	-	55		100	- 0	- 22	106		222_	
							0				-	-	-														

As expected, traversing a rigid surface produces a more or less uniform force distribution along a single column of sensors. The non-idealities of the system prevent a perfectly level voltage reading.

#### Rigid Surface Over a Rigid Obstacle



🖷 simple	inter	face																										. 🗆 🛛
							-							2														
	Seriai	Setting				Conne	ctedl								-							-	_	-		6	1	<u>×</u>
į.	SEI	FIAL FORT				•	BAUDAR	IE a	DATABO	•	PENIY	•	STOP BIT	\$ -				510			_		F	L		NV	(s)	
Color Ma	н/ П	EST COLOR	CROSS	HME	STARTO	56135							Force	e Sei	nsor	s										-		
	50	51	52	53	54	55	56	-57	58	59	51.0	511	512	SL3	514	515	51.6	517	518	SL 9	520	521	\$22	523	\$24	\$25	526	527
		1.90	966			232		21.1	259			- 1	72	÷	182	21		21.2		16.	4		1	24		- <b>B</b> - 1	- 1	
	528	525	\$30	531	\$32	\$33	\$34	\$33	536	\$37	\$38	\$39	540	541	\$42	\$43	- 544	545	\$46	547	548	\$49	\$50	\$51	\$52	\$53	\$54	\$55
	1	1	989	134	1	153	1	132	208	79	166	11	61	79	211	7	151	221	72	2	- 3°	130	165	- 40	144	231	47	1
	\$56	\$57		\$59	560	set	\$62	563	\$64		\$86	\$67	\$68	569	\$7.0	\$71	\$72	\$73	\$74	\$75	\$76	\$27	578	\$79	\$80	5.81	\$82	583
	0	215		162	4	1	57	1	6	267	227	1	1	222	5.62	93	i.	91	75	1	182	1	177	- 1	7	1.55	71	1
	584	585	586	\$87	588	58.9	590	591	592		594	595	\$96	597	598	599	51.00	51.01	\$102	\$1.03	51.04	\$1,05	SL06	\$1.07	\$108		5110	5111
	65	794	258	226	۰.	217	27		87		171	2	a	71	133	296	- i -	3.29	194	55	190	77	51	213	126		146	2
	5112	5113	5114	\$115	SILE	5117	5118	5115	5120	51.21	51.22	5123	5124	\$1.25	\$126	51.27	SL28	5129	\$130	\$131	5132	\$133	51.34	5135	\$136	\$137	5138	\$139
	269	- 50	148	138	21.4	- 37	210	1	16	221	-11	63	1	- 77	14	92	1	- 1	195	207	276	36	252	1	203	209	328	1
	\$140	5141	\$142	\$143	\$1.44	-3145	\$146	\$147	\$148	\$149	\$1.50	\$150	\$152	1941	5154	\$155	5156	\$157	\$158	\$158	\$160	\$161	\$1.62	\$163	\$164	5165	\$166	\$167
	1	295	159	1	235	208	9	1	0	1	242	72	1	391	<u> </u>	53	- 1	83	1	. L	12	2	1	140	1	1	1	1
	1168	2163	3170	5171	\$1.72	5173	\$174	5175	5176		5178	5179	5180	SLEE	1182	5183	SUBA	5185	5186	51.87	52.6H	2189	51.90	5191	5192	SL93	51.94	51.95
	244	6.	156	39	1	292	764	171	45	10	26	206	214	1	31.3	166	164	- 0	169	171	266	- 26	170	1	1	1.	252	2.16
		5197	\$198	5199	5200	5201	5202	\$203	5204	\$205	5206	5207	5208	5209		5211		5213		5215	5216		5218	5219	5220	\$221	5222	5223
	331	391	10	1	1	0	172	49	198	1.	1	-1	276	- 1	248	130	267	158	279	138	2	115	1	47	1	.220	65	149
lixt:			-43L																									
			$^{\sim}$																									
	149	157/		Vui		142				171	133		78		157		54		160							135	88	- 22
	1					-	-		•		-	-43-		•				-0-		-		-•-	-0		-0-			
														C	at.													

As the wheel traverses a flat, rigid obstacle, the full column changes into a smaller distribution with correspondingly higher force, as expected. The force values returned to the full column as soon as the wheel rolled off of the obstacle.

#### Rocky Surface



This test covered the traversal of an uneven, rocky surface, causing the measured weight distribution to vary widely, as would be expected. Most values are confined to a single column, but the distribution across the column is more akin to a series of point loads than full uniform planar surface contact.

#### Compliant Surface

t								10								A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A			and the second of the second									
8	[	\$											simp	le_inte	erface												- 0	×
UVERL	Serial cor AY TE	Settings 13 IST COLOR	CROSSH	AIR	STHRT LOO	Conner	CTECI BRUD RA	IE .	DATA BIT	\$	PBRITY	•	STOP BIT	s .	CTR DTR Noh	STOP		stor			_	J	E			NA	SA	
Color Ma	50 50	51	\$2	\$3	54	55	56	\$7	58	\$9	\$10	511	512	e Sei	1SORS 514	5 51.5	\$16	\$17	518	519	\$20	\$21	\$22	\$23	\$24	525	\$26	\$27
	232 528	236 529	128 530	21.9	222	287	142 534	145	253 536	194 \$37	1 8 1 5 3 6	1	24 540	1	198 84.2		0 544	156	57 546	1	1	1	0 \$50	82 551	223	1	1	1
	53	1	179	201	0	134	1	153	152	68	128	1	1	42	345		233	86	81	128	1	207	197	1	221	136	1	0
	556 1		558 163	215	560 55	561 1	562	13	1		235	1	1	82			572	573 131	574	575 1	136	577	578 198	579	580	381 157	582	0
	584 7.0	\$85 21.0	586 201	\$87	588 1	589	590 55	591 1.29	\$92 1.58		\$94 1.71	\$95 1.52	596 28	597 71	\$98 202		5100 23	\$101 129	51.02	51.03	\$1.04 1.4.2	\$105 236	\$106 33	\$107 250	\$1.08 223	S1 0 9	\$110 89	5111
	\$112	\$113	5114	\$115	\$116	\$117	5118	\$119	\$120	5121	\$122	\$123	5124	\$125	\$126		5128	\$129	5130	\$1.31	5132	\$133	5134	\$135	\$136	\$1.37	\$138	\$139
	274 5140	5141	1 5142	5143	5144	5145	178 \$146	1 5147	5148	5149	5150	66 \$1.51	1 5152	35 5153	5154		4	1 \$157	51.58	51 59	243 \$160	5161	5162	0 5163	156 5164	5165	5166	0 5167
	1 5168	317 5169	1 5170	3 5171	89 5172	167 5173	75 5174	0 \$175	1 5176	1 5177	289 5178	60 \$179	0 5180	313 5181	166 5182		0 5184	49 5185	1 5186	1 51 87	56 5188	2 \$189	1 5190	1 5191	1 5192	0 5193	0 51.94	39 5195
	215 5196	1	148	40	1	286 5201	238	132	46	303 5205	29 5206	212 5207	182	5 5209	290 5210		84 5212	1 5213	2	64 5215	185 5216	54	296 521.8	243	1	1	316	254
	288	391	11	1	1	86	136	173	86	1	0	1	249	22	31.2	511	208	223	21.9	140	26	350	0	247	303	300	229	146
D×1	141	177	116	121	74	143	110	93	104	174	146	61	60	71 •	235	553	63	97	90 •	61	98	122	125	103	141	139	104	76

This surface is unlikely to be encountered on Mars, but recognition of similar properties could assist in later substrate identification. The uniformity of the sample indicates how the wheel responds to a compliant but contiguous material, such as the field turf shown here.

#### Dragging Through Sand



2														simp	le_inte	erface												- "	×
	_							k																				2.4	,
	Se	erial :	Setting				Conne	ctedi								- 010							_	_	_		ି କ		
		COM	13				•	BAUD RA	IE 🔺	DATA BIT	s 🔺	PARITY	•	STOP BIT	s 🔺	DTR	STOP		sto								NA	S.	
																											معمر	ر ۲	
OVE	BLAY	TE	ST COLOR	CROSSE	IAIR	STREET LO	REING																						
Coloriv	lap												-	orce	e Ser	isor	S												
			51			54		56	\$7		\$9	\$10	\$11		\$13	\$1.4	\$15	\$16	\$17	518	519	\$20		\$22	\$23	524	\$25	\$26	527
	2	226	185	227	250	197	326	176	188	264	199	140	1	230	9	186	1	30	183	140	1	1		239	4	157	1	1	1
	1	20		330	331		333	334		330	337	330			241				343	346	211/				331	224	333	321	,,,,
	5	0 556	557	558	559	1 560	561	562	563	138 564	565	566	1 567	1 568	559	570	42	\$72	573	574	575	576	-1-25 \$77		\$79	580	198	2 582	583
		1		178	180	86	1	36	1	148		57	1	1	38	135	34	1	83	50	1	150			60	49	148	2	
	s	584	585	566	\$87	\$88	589	\$90	591	\$92	590	\$94	\$95	\$96	597	\$98	\$99	5100	\$101	\$102	\$103	51.04	51.05	\$105	\$1.07	\$1.0.8	\$1,09	\$110	5111
		42	199		216	1	177	46	227	227		189	133	67	129	198	215	20	80	200	1	204		221		297		69	41
	\$1	112	\$113	5114	\$115	5116	\$117	\$118	\$119	\$120	5121	5122	\$123	\$124	\$125	\$126	5127	5128	\$129	\$130	\$131				\$135	\$136	\$1.37	\$138	5139
	2	260	1	1	80	128	32	207	3	64	222	8	2	1	15	1	11	1	1	191	129	276		350	43	198	221	128	1
	3	140		5142	5143	5144	5145	5146	5147	5148	5149	5150	3151	5152		5154	5155	3126	3157	2128	51.59	5160		5162	5163	5164	21.62	5166	5167
	SI	1	351 5169	1	55	225 \$172	169	2	1	1	1	182 \$178	75	1	324 \$1.81	1	226 \$183	1 51.84	50 \$185	1	1 51.87	197	310 5189	221	168	1	1 \$1.93	7	1
	2	21.1	1	130	39		284	198	134	231		13	153	208	13	21.2	128	80	1	198	2	209			251	1	1		274
	5	196	\$197	5198	5199	\$200	\$201	\$202	\$203	\$204	\$205	\$206	\$207	\$208	\$209	5210	5211	5212	5213	\$214	\$215	\$216	3217	5218	\$21.9	\$220	5221	\$222	\$223
	2	277		81	1	1	29	222	9	92	1	1	1		4		207	221	2	182	222	69		1			277		221
																							365	300					
	Ι,		167	136			146			145	170					154				128		142		-0	176	144	146		
		•	-0-	-0-			~~				-0_		45	- <sup>92</sup> -	73	~~	0	-63-	59	<u> </u>	52	~			-0		-0-	- <b>0</b> -	67
															C	ol.													

The wider contact patch results in substantially lower pressure measured when the same weight is applied. The rubber bands provided additional offset values, reducing the distinctiveness of the actual contact positions.

#### Forcing Weight Into Sand



8	-	1	3										simp	le_inte	rface													×
	Serial CO	Setting H3	5			Conne	Ctedi Brud Rr	IE .	DATA BIT	s .	PHRITY	•	STOP BIT	s .	CTB DTR Roh	STOP		sto	8			J	F			N	, si	
CVER	AY T	EST COLOR	CROSSH	HAIR	STARTLO	eging.							Fore	o S o	icor													
Color M	30 50	51	\$2	\$3	54	55	56	- 57	\$6	\$9	\$10	\$11	512	513	514	315	816	\$17	518	\$1.9	\$20	\$21	\$22	\$23	\$24	\$25	\$26	\$27
	242	185			1.70		174			150	1.72		1.20					100	144									
	528	529	\$30	\$31	532	\$33	\$34	535	\$36	\$37	\$38	\$39	540	541		(4)		545	546	547	548	54.9	550	551	552	593	554	\$55
	1		174	146	1	139	1	172	170	139	138	1	1	50				161	75	87	1	128	207	1	109	229	45	1
	\$56	\$57	558	559	\$60	561	\$62	\$63	564	565	566	\$67	568	569	\$70			\$73	574	\$75	\$76	\$77	578	\$79	\$80	\$81	582	583
	1	237	21.6	198	31	1	33	8	146		88	1	1		217			155	67	0	109	1	1.91	1	34	197	68	0
	584	585	\$86	\$87	\$88	589	590	591	592		594	\$95	596	\$97	598			5101	51 0 2	\$1.03	\$1.04	5105	\$106	51.07	51.08	51.0.9	5110	5111
	35	184	300	226	0	166	56	230	135	2.9.9	172	183	50	59	173			173	184	1	174	136	57	299	273	276	145	84
	5112	\$113	5114		5116	\$117	\$118	\$119	\$120		\$122	5123	\$124	\$125	\$126			\$129	\$130	\$131	\$132	5133		\$135	\$136	\$1.37	\$138	\$139
	249	1	10	227	132	2	201	0	16	241	- 11	146	0	60	1		426	1	173	2	246	158	285	1	.94	212	158	1
	3140	3141	5142	5143	5144	3145	5146	5147	5148	5149	3150	31 51	51.52	32352	5154		-51.515	31.57	51.28	5159	5160	5161	3162	5163	5164	51.65	5166	5167
	1	325	14	1	156	185	2	1	1	1 51.72	183	25	1	3334	1 31.82		325	88 \$1.85	1	0	79	140	1	1	1	1	8	0
								100														20		100				
	5196	51.97	5198	5199	\$200	5201	\$202	\$203	5204	\$205	5206	5207	5208	5209	5210			\$213	5214	5215	5215	5217	5218	5219	5220	5221	5222	\$223
	285		55			19		70	1.81	1			290	21				195	138	85	47			222			225	197
	2005			-			-			•	-				elet.	1.000 - 1			100	93				- CEE				
IXI																44	481											
	132	159	148	135	56	128	87	105	142	163	100	-64	86	116	195			123	117	27	108	109	133	86	121	148	122	-62 •

We attempted to increase the relative measurements on the contact patch by increasing the force manually. Results were as expected, with increased magnitudes in the contact area.

#### Dragging In Sand Over Buried Object



8			N										simp	le_inte	rface													• ×
			7																	_								,
	Serial	Setting				Conne	cted!								OTR					_						- (°	···	
	C01	113				•	BAUD RA	TE 🔺	DATA BIT	\$	PARITY	•	STOP BIT	ŝ 🔺	DTR	STOP		STOP					-			NA	<b>S</b> A	
																										مم	ر ب	
0VERL	AY 🔲 TE	ST COLOR	CROSSH	IAIR	START LO	861143																						
Color Ma	.p											-	orce	e Sei	isors	5												
	50	51	52	\$3	54	\$5	\$6	\$7	58	\$9	\$10	511	\$12	\$13	\$14	\$15	\$16	\$17	516	519	\$20	\$21	\$22	\$23	\$24	\$25	\$26	\$27
	195	179	89	214	2	238	230	212	24.8	205	177	1	26	4	180	1	1	150	68	1	0	1	1	77	231	0	0	1
	\$28	529	\$30	\$31	\$32	\$33	\$34	\$35	\$36	\$37	538	\$39	540	541	\$42	\$43	S44	\$45	546		546	549	\$50	551	\$52	\$53	\$54	\$55
	50	1	155	161	1	222	1	145	175	63	154	1	1	30	198	45	165	62	88	236	1	2	2	1	79	129	1	1
			330		300		302	303			300	307	100	100	370	3/1		200			576				300	301	302	303
	1	585	586	587	588	589	590	591	592	397 593	594	1	1 596	\$97	598	599	5100	51 01	5102	5103	132 \$104	\$105	5106	5107	\$108	51.09	5110	5111
	52	191		146	1	136	57	205	158		175	158	12	81	144		1	91	190	1	132	172	22	228	211	290	230	221
	\$112	5113	5114	\$115	5115	5117	\$118	\$119	\$120	5121	5122	\$123	5124	\$125	\$126	\$127	5128	5129	\$1.30	\$131	\$132	\$133	5134	\$135	5136	\$1.37	\$138	5139
	249	1	1	129	231	0	168	1	198	212	137	88	0	72	0	1	1	0		138	248	144		1	144	214	149	1
	\$140	\$141	\$142	\$143	5144	\$145	\$146	\$147	\$148	5149	\$150	\$151	\$152	\$1.58	\$154	\$155	\$156	\$157	\$158	\$159	\$160	\$161	\$162	5163	5164	\$165	\$166	\$167
	1	268	1	13	86	163	34		0	1	331	85	1		1	87	1	41	1	1	89	223	1	1	1	1	1	67
	\$168	\$169	\$170	\$171	5172	\$173	\$174		\$176	5177	\$178	\$179	\$180	\$181		5183	\$184	5185	5186	\$187	\$188	\$189		5191	\$192	\$193		5195
	200	1	136	40	1	239	197	718	58	305	29	174	184	72		181	77	1	149	9	191	69	288	219	1	1	288	235
	\$196		\$198	\$199	5200	\$201	\$202	\$203	\$204	\$205	\$206	\$207		\$209		\$211	\$212	\$213	\$214	\$215	\$216	\$217	5218	5219	\$220		\$222	\$223
	258	323	0	1	1	64	2	236	75	1	2	1	272	25	313	89	77	139	228	140	42	258	0	238	276	286	191	141
191																												
1~1																												
	125					133		×		175	136				164											133		
	-0°	-0-	<mark>96</mark>		41	_0_	5		-	_0_		-63	62		~~		40	0	-0-	65		-0	-0	0	<b>0</b>	-0-	-0	
														C	ol.													

A (3.5 inch diameter) aluminum cylinder was buried in the test area, with only a small prominence above the surrounding area. Results were very promising, showing a sudden transition from the wide contact shown above to a small contact area similar to the earlier rigid-obstacle test. This would allow the detection of unseen sub-surface objects that might otherwise go undetected.



#### Dragging Through Sand with Rubber Bands Removed

5			N										simp	le_inte	erface												-	
			~																									
	Serial	Setting				Conner	tedl								OTP											6		/
	0	)113				•	BAUD RA	IE 🔺	DATA BIT	•	PARITY	•	STOP BIT	s .	DTR	STOP		STO					-			N	484	4
																_										مر	<u>ر</u> ا	
0VERLA	1Y 🔲 T	EST COLOR	CROSSH	AIR	START LOC	REING																						
Color Ma	,												orce	e Sei	isor	s												
	50	51	52	\$3	54	\$5	56	57	58	59	\$10	511	\$12	513	514	\$15	\$16	\$17	518	519	\$20	\$21	\$22	\$23	524	525	\$26	527
	1	1	1	1	1	1	0	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	32	158	226	1	1	1	1	0	1	0	1	1	1	0
	526	529	530	531	332	333	334	222	536	537	236	223	540	541	542		- Jee	345	346	547	548	543	550	351	352	353	554	322
	1 \$56	1 (57	1	1	1 560	1	1	1 563	1	1	1	1	1	1 569	570	320	415	573	1 \$74	0	576	577	24	579	1	581	0	1 583
													1								<b>1</b>		24		1.	1		
	584	585	586	587	588	589	590	591	592	593	\$94	595	596	597	598	199	5100	5101	5102	\$103	\$1.04	\$105	5106	5107	5108	5109	5110	5111
	1		1	0	1		•	1	1	43			1	1	l .		230	25	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	
	\$112	\$113	5114	\$115	5116	\$117	\$118	\$119	\$120	5121	5122	\$123	\$1.24	\$125	\$126	\$127	5128	5129	\$130	\$131	\$132	\$133	\$134	\$135	\$136	\$1.37	\$138	\$139
			1		1		1		1				1	1	1	21.2	155	1	1	0		1	24	1	1			
	\$140	\$141	\$142	\$143	\$144	\$145	\$146	\$147	5148	5149	\$150	\$151	\$152	\$153	\$154	\$155	5156	\$157	\$158	\$159	\$160	\$161	\$162	5163	5164	\$165	\$166	5167
	1	0	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	0	1	1	195	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
	5168	\$169	\$170	5171	5172	\$173	5174	\$175	5176	5177	5178	5179	5180	\$181	5182	5183	5184	5185	5186	\$187	\$188	\$189	\$190	5191	5192	5193	5194	\$195
	0	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	0	1	173	40	0	1	1	5	1	1	1	1	1	0	1
	\$196	\$197	\$198	\$199	\$200	5201	\$202	\$203	5204	\$205	\$206	\$207	\$208	\$209	\$210	\$211	5212	5213	\$214	\$215	\$216	\$217	\$218	\$219	5220	5221	5222	5223
	1	0	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	0	1	1	1	1	1	89	1	1	1	1	1	-1	1	1	1	1	1	1
147																												
IXI																												
																226												
															5 /	$\sim$	-0											0
	_ <u>`</u> _			ö		i								ĕ	_			<u> </u>			-0-		_0_				<b>`</b>	

The preloading from the rubber bands dramatically reduced the ability of the sensor net to detect the minimal pressure applied by sandy surfaces. Removing the rubber bands was risky, as it could have allowed rapid sensor delamination, but the possibility of improved data collection outweighed the detriments from losing several sensors after the other tests had been completed. Results shown above are improved dramatically from the earlier sample, demonstrating the potential of this technology if the delamination issue can be rectified.

#### Forcing Weight Into Sand With Rubber Bands Removed



-													simp	le_inte	rface													
				ł.																								
	Serial	Setting				Conne	ctedl																_	_		ି ଜ		
	00	113				•	BAVO RA	TE 🔺	DATA BIT	5 🔺	PARITY	-	STOP BIT	8	OTR	STOP		STO								NY	SA	
															1990											مر		2
0.50	av T	COLOR 197	CROSS			17817																						
Color Ma	.aii	ST COLON	Uniusar	nin	21600 00	3198709							Force	e Ser	isors	6												
	50	51	52	\$3	54	55	56	57	56	59	\$10	511	512	513	514	\$15	\$16	517	518	519	\$20	\$21	\$22	\$23	124	625	\$26	\$27
	0	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	346	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	0	1	0	1	1	1	4	477		1	1
	528	\$29	\$30	\$31	\$32	\$33	\$34	\$35	\$36	\$37	\$38	\$39	\$40	541	542	S4 3	S44	\$45	S46	\$47	\$48	S4 9	\$50	\$51	\$52		\$54	\$55
	1	0	1	1	1	1	1	0	1	1	0	1	1	1	1	1	0	1	1	0	1	1	43	82	239	387	85	1
	\$56	\$57	\$58	\$59	560	561	562	563	564	565	566	567	268	569	\$70	\$71	\$72	\$73	574	\$75	\$76	\$77	\$78	\$79	580	561	582	583
	1 584	1 5.85	1	1	1	1	1 590	1 591	1 592	1 592	1	1 595	1 596	1	1 598	1	1	1	1	1	23	1	26	0	313	258	202	0
	1	1.											1.											150			177	45
	\$112	\$113	5114	\$115	5116	\$117	\$118	\$119	\$120	5121	5122	5123	51 24	\$125	\$126	\$127	\$128	5129	\$130	\$1.31	\$132	\$133	5134	\$135	\$136	5137	5138	\$139
	1	1	1	1	1	1		1	1	1	1	0	1	0			1	1	1	1	86	•	42	0			321	
	\$140	\$141	5142	5143	5144	\$145	\$146	\$147	\$148	5149	\$150	5151	5152	\$153	\$154	\$155	\$156	\$157	\$158	\$159	\$160	\$161	5162	5163	\$1.64	\$165	\$166	\$167
	1	1	1	1	1	1		1	1	1	1	1	1				1	1	1		1		1	184			263	
	\$168	\$169	\$170	5171	5172	\$173	5174	\$175	\$176	\$177	5178	5179	\$180	\$181	\$182	\$183	5184	\$185	\$186	5187	\$188	\$189	5190	5191	\$1.92	\$1.93	\$1.94	\$195
	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	0	1	1	1	1	0	40	1	1	1	233	246	345	52
	\$196	\$197	5198	5199	\$200	\$201	\$202	\$203	\$204	\$205	\$206	\$207	\$208	\$209	\$210	5211	\$212	5213	\$214	\$215	\$216	5217	5218	5219	5220		\$222	\$223
	3	1	1	1	1	1	0	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	0	1	1	1	0	0	1	1	510	466	31.9	1
IXI																												
																									348	-00		
																									/		214	
	1	2	1	1	1	1	0	0	44	1	Q	0	1	0	2	0	0	1	1	0	19	0	14	_53/				21
		-		_			_	_				-		1	nil.	-				_								

The same manually increased force application was tested on the band-free model as had been done with the bands attached. Again, force magnitudes and area increased.



Dragging In Sand Over Buried Object With Rubber Bands Removed

=			_										simp	le_inte	erface												-	
	Control	<b>C</b> - <b>e</b> l - <b>e</b> -	- <b>-</b>				at a citi																				24 T	
	Serial	Settings				Conne	rreal								CTR					_			_			1.	$\sum$	
	0	13				•	BAUD RAI	ε -	DATA BITS	•	PARITY	•	STOP BIT	s .	DTR XOH			STO			_				_		<b>4</b> 24	
																						_				مر	ر. ر	
OVERI	AY 🔲 TE	ST COLOR	CROSSH	AIR	START LOS	IGING																						
Color Ma	p												Force	e Se	isors	5												
	50	51	\$2	\$3	54	\$5	56	\$7	58	59	\$10	511	512	513	514	\$15	\$16	\$17	518	519	\$20	\$21	\$22	\$23	524	\$25	\$26	\$27
	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	0	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	0
	\$28	529	\$30	531	\$32	\$33	534	\$35	\$36	\$37	538	539	540	541	542	543	544	\$45	546	547	54.6	549	\$50	551	552	\$53	\$54	\$55
	1	1	1	1	1	1	0	0	1	1	1	0	1	1	1	1	1	1	0	1	1	1	59	1	0	0	1	1
	326	557	228	223	200	561	562	263	204	202	200	367	268	263	570	571	572	573	374	375	376	577	578	3/9	280	581	582	283
	1	1	1	1	1	1	1 690	1 01	1	1 (97)	1	1	1	1	69	1	1	1	1	1	16	0	57	1	1	0	1	1
	104		300			103	350						,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,		20			,101						<u> </u>	1.00		1 m	
	0 \$112	1 \$113	5114	1 5115	1 5116	1	1 5118	1	1 5120	1 5121	5122	1 5123	1 5124	1 \$1.25	32 \$1.26	1	5128	2 51.2.9	1 5130	1 5131	1 \$132	1 5133	1 5134	1 5135	5136	51.37	5138	1 \$139
															715													
	\$140	\$141	\$142	\$143	5144	\$145	\$146	\$147	5148	5149	\$150	\$151	51 52	\$153	51.54	\$155	5156	\$157	\$158	\$159	\$160	\$161	\$162	5163	5164	\$1.65	\$166	\$167
		1	0		1		1		1		1	1	1	1	452	1	1	1	1	1	1	1		1				•
	\$1.68	\$169	\$170	\$171	\$172	\$173	\$174	\$175	\$176	\$177	\$178	\$179	\$180	\$181	51.62	\$183	\$184	\$185	\$186	\$187	\$188	\$189	\$190	\$191	\$192	\$1.93	\$1.94	\$195
	1	0	1		1		1		1		1	1	1	1	465	1	1	1	1	1	26	1		1				
	\$196	\$197	\$198	\$199	\$200	\$201	\$202	\$203	\$204	\$205	\$206	\$207	\$208	\$209	\$210	\$211	5212	5213	\$214	\$215	\$216	\$217	\$218	5219	\$220	\$221	\$222	\$223
	1	1	1	0	1	0	1	1	1	1	0	1	1	1	202	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	1	1	1	1	0	1
[×]																												
															八													
	0	0	0	0	-	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	1	1/		<u>\</u>	1	1	0	0	13	0	17	1	0	0	0	0
															-1													

The removal of the rubber bands also improved the detection of the submerged test object, with a clearer distinction on the GUI corresponding to the anomalous object.

## Future Recommendations

#### Improve sensor output resolution

Using a voltage divider to measure the resistance output of the sensors resulted in a non-linear force measurement curve. This caused a more complicated translation from the analog output value into a force. In order to correct for the non-linear curve, the force sensitive resistor could have been connected to a constant current source circuit with an operational amplifier. R1 would be sized appropriately to give the desired range of forces sensed depending on the force/resistance range output of the force sensitive resistor.



#### Increase sensor coverage

Additionally, the force sensitive resistors only cover about 5% of the surface area of the outside of the wheel, resulting in the possibility of undetected contact measurements on non-deformable surfaces. This could be corrected by either increasing the density of the resistors, or increasing the size of the active area of the resistors. The force sensitive resistors used were chosen for their low cost and ease of use, but other commercial and custom options could be considered for another prototype iteration.

Coverage = Active area of sensor \* Number of sensors / Area of wheel contact surface =

$$\pi \times 0.1^2 \times \frac{224}{\pi \times 6.5^2}$$

#### Improve sensor attachment method

One of the major hardware problems encountered in this prototype was the propensity for the sensors to delaminate under the tensile stress to which the current design subjects them. An alternative could involve securing individual rigid pads to the outside of the wheel, so that each sensor is still

independently actuated but is no longer in tension. This would solve both the problem of adhering the sensors to the outer surface of the wheel and the delamination of the sensor layers.

# Bill of Materials

Part	Quanitity	Units	Company	Part Number	Unit Cost	Total Cost
28-position DIP socket	1	ea	Digikey	A100210-ND	0.411	0.41
PIC16F1518	1	ea	Digikey	PIC16F1518-I/SP-ND	1.94	1.94
Male header pins	574	ea	Digikey	A26509-40-ND	0.0409	23.48
40-position female headers (10 pieces)	1	pk	Fry's	7726788	11.99	11.99
1k resistor	1	ea	SPDL		0.01	0.01
.1uF capacitor	1	ea	SPDL		0.1	0.10
16-position DIP socket	35	ea	Digikey	A100206-ND	0.1944	6.80
24-position DIP socket	14	ea	Digikey	A24798-ND	2.47	34.58
16-selection multiplexer	14	ea	Digikey	296-33087-5-ND	2.1	29.40
2-selection multiplexer	7	ea	Digikey	296-2059-5-ND	0.53	3.71
10k 8-resistor array	14	ea	Digikey	4116R-1-103LF-ND	0.4676	6.55
2-position female headers	224	ea	Digikey	S7000-ND	0.194	43.46
White heatshrink	56	in	McMaster-Carr	7132K721	0.085333333	4.78
Red heatshrink	56	in	McMaster-Carr	7132K722	0.085333333	4.78
Black heatshrink	224	in	McMaster-Carr	7132K616	0.0606	13.57
Thin white heatshrink	24	in	McMaster-Carr	7132K2	0.0528	1.27
Force Sensitive Resistors	224	ea	Digikey	1027-1000-ND	3.4	761.60
Aluminum bar (3/8"x3/8")	14	in	McMaster-Carr	9008K21	0.113055556	1.58
Microcontroller PCB	1	ea	AP Circuits		22.13	22.13
Section PCB	7	ea	AP Circuits		36.58	256.06
Acrylic	316.17188	sq in	TAP Plastics	Acrylic Sheet - Clear	0.062624166	19.80
Sealed bearings	4	ea	McMaster-Carr	6384K49	9.51	38.04
Duron	16	sq in	Room 36		0.00694444	0.11
2-56 screws	32	ea	McMaster-Carr	91735A019	0.115	3.68
2-56 nuts	32	ea	McMaster-Carr	91841A003	0.0253	0.81
4-40 screws	10	ea	McMaster-Carr	91255A116	0.2364	2.36
4-40 nuts	10	ea	McMaster-Carr	90480A005	0.0081	0.08
8-32 screws	28	ea	McMaster-Carr	97763A178	0.099	2.77
Twisted pair wire	89	ft	SPDL	24 gauge	0.1	8.90
Slip ring	1	ea	Adafruit	1195	17.5	17.50
Steel tube handle	3	ft	McMaster-Carr	89955K801	16.04333333	48.13
Steel reinforcement bar (1/4"x4")	3	ft	McMaster-Carr	8910K584	11.50333333	34.51
Aluminum plate (1/4"x2")	49	lin in	McMaster-Carr	8975K26	0.314861111	15.43
Aluminum wheel tube	7	lin in	Alan Steel			10.00
3D printed sections	7	ea	Room 36		44.71	312.97
Rubber bands	8	ea	Staples		0.220416667	1.76
FTDI chip	1	ea	Sparkfun	DEV-09716	14.95	14.95
USB cable	1	ea	Fry's		5.99	5.99
Wheel axle	3	ft	McMaster-Carr	89955K779	8.966666667	26.90
Snap rings	2	ea	Ace Hardware		0.4	0.80

Total

1793.69

# Budget

Date	Company	Order Contents	Cost
10/4/2013	Digikey	1st round of FSR's	60.05
10/11/2013	Alan Steel	Wheel Aluminum	87.00
10/15/2013	Digikey	PICs	13.30
10/23/2013	Digikey	MUX's	82.63
10/30/2013	McMaster	Wheel Hardware	199.80
10/30/2013	Digikey	DIP sockets	66.14
10/30/2013	Sparkfun	FTDI board	18.64
10/30/2013	Room 36	projet	44.71
11/4/2013	Ace Hardware	Epoxy and toothpicks	21.95
11/4/2013	Digikey	Full FSR order	933.00
11/11/2013	Digikey	Resistor Arrays/sockets, male/female headers	110.49
11/11/2013	AP Circuits	Microcontroller PCBs	74.26
11/11/2013	AP Circuits	Mux PCBs	322.64
11/11/2013	TAP Plastics	Acrylic	26.40
11/11/2013	Fry's	Female Headers, USB cable	19.55
11/11/2013	Room 36	projet all sections	282.08
11/11/2013	McMaster	Steel Bar	92.61
11/11/2013	McMaster	Steel Tube (1" OD, 3')	59.45
11/14/2013	McMaster	Screws, Aluminum Bar, Heat Shrink	80.03
11/21/2013	McMaster	Ball Bearings, Screws, Nuts	51.49
12/5/2013	Ace Hardware	Snap rings and snap ring pliers	16.74
12/9/2013	Ace Hardware	Conduit and bolts/nuts for driving handle	12.30



# Appendices

#### Appendix A: Code

All code is available as a Git repository at <u>https://github.com/apkessler/ME218D-JPL</u>.

The source code for the PC interface GUI is in the /pc\_interface/simple\_interface/ subdirectory. Precompiled versions of the GUI for Windows, Mac, and Linux are also available in this folder.

The source code for the microcontroller is in the /MicrocontrollerCode/ subdirectory.

#### Appendix B: Hardware CAD Files

CAD files are included as a separate ZIP file sent with this report.